

With the cooperation of the European Commission

# ERASMUS+ BAROMETER 2016

2015-2016 Academic Year Survey Results

Presented by Gregoris A. Makrides,

EAEC President







Erasmus Coordinators

# SURVEY DEMOGRAPHICS

The survey took place online via the erasmusbarometer.net website between October 2016 and March 2017. The survey results consist of 187 full responses to the questionnaire from 35 different countries.



#### PARTICIPANTS OF THE ONLINE SURVEY ARE:



### NUMBER OF STUDENTS REGISTERED


	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Number of students registered in 2015-2016 Academic Year: [First Cycle]	()	57955	7515.03	10698.64
A9. Number of students registered in 2015-2016 Academic Year: [Second Cycle]		41754	2745.78	6044.65
A9. Number of students registered in 2015-2016 Academic Year: [Third Cycle]		10000	588.88	1467.32

#### NUMBER OF ERASMUS REALIZED MOBILITIES IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2015-2016, INCLUDING THE SUMMER:

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Number of ERASMUS realized mobilities				
in the Academic Year 2015-2016,	0	2074	191.34	341.08
including the summer of 2016: [SMS		2074	191.94	541.00
(studies):]				
Number of ERASMUS realized mobilities				
in the Academic Year 2015-2016,	0	999	60.02	110.27
including the summer of 2016: [SMP	0	555	00.02	110.27
(traineeships):]				

### BI.MOBILITY BETWEEN PROGRAMME COUNTRIES

BI.I. EVALUATE THE INTEREST OF STUDENTS IN YOUR INSTITUTION TO UNDERTAKE A TRAINEESHIP AS RECENT GRADUATES




BI.2. HAS YOUR INSTITUTION RECEIVED MORE DEMANDS FROM PARTICIPANTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS (SMS AND SMP) UNDER THE 2014 CALL COMPARED THE PREVIOUS CALLS?

	Frequency	Percentage
Do not know - No answer	14	8.5%
No	147	89.6%
Yes	3	1.8%
Total	164	100.0%


### **B2. PARTICIPATION AND FUNDING**

B2.I. AMONG ALL THE STUDENTS WHO APPLIED FOR OUTGOING ERASMUS STUDIES, WHAT PROPORTION HAS BEEN SELECTED FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE PROGRAMME?




П

B2.2. AMONG ALL THE STUDENTS WHO APPLIED FOR OUTGOING ERASMUS TRAINEESHIPS, WHAT PROPORTION HAS BEEN SELECTED FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE PROGRAMME?




12

B2.3. AMONG STUDENTS SELECTED FOR PARTICIPATION IN ERASMUS+, WHAT PROPORTION WITHDREW THEIR APPLICATION AFTER SELECTION?




# B3. OLS (ONLINE LINGUISTIC SUPPORT)

### B3.1. IN YOUR OPINION, HOW USEFUL IS THE OLS LANGUAGE ASSESSMENT?




١5

#### B3.2. IN YOUR OPINION, HOW USEFUL ARE THE OLS LANGUAGE COURSES AND "LIVE COACHING" ACTIVITIES?




### **B4.LEARNING AGREEMENT**

B4. I. DID YOUR INSTITUTION MANAGE TO UPDATE ITS COURSE CATALOGUE BEFORE THE END OF THE PREVIOUS ACADEMIC YEAR?




18

#### B4.2. HAS YOUR INSTITUTION PUBLISHED THE SPECIFIC DEADLINES FOR STUDENTS TO INTRODUCE CHANGES IN THE LEARNING AGREEMENT?



#### B4.3. HAS THE NEW LEARNING AGREEMENT HELPED IN AVOIDING PROBLEMS WITH RECOGNITION OF ECTS GAINED ABROAD?



### **B5. INSURANCE COVERAGE**

B5.1. INDICATE WHO IS TAKING CARE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INSURANCE COVERAGE: IN MOBILITY OF STUDIES [A.HEALTH]

	2016 (F-Sp-Su)(%)	2015
Student	79.78	78.8
Sending HEI	17.42	19.9
Receiving HEI	2.81	1.2

B5.1. INDICATE WHO IS TAKING CARE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INSURANCE COVERAGE: IN MOBILITY OF STUDIES [B. LIABILITY]

	2016 (F-SpSu)(%)	2015
Student	60.67	62.2
Receiving Organization/enterprise	11.80	8.7
Sending HEI	27.53	29

B5.1. INDICATE WHO IS TAKING CARE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INSURANCE COVERAGE: IN MOBILITY OF STUDIES [C. ACCIDENT]

	2016 (F-SpSu)(%)	2015
Student	65.17	68
Sending HEI	30.90	27.8
Receiving HEI	3.93	4.1

B5.2. INDICATE WHO IS TAKING CARE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INSURANCE COVERAGE: IN MOBILITY FOR TRAINEESHIPS[A. HEALTH]

	<b>2016</b> (F-Sp-Su)(%)	2015
Student	80.34	79.3
Receiving Organization/enterprise	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	0.8
Sending HEI	15.73	19.9

B5.2. INDICATE WHO IS TAKING CARE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INSURANCE COVERAGE: IN MOBILITY FOR TRAINEESHIPS[B. LIABILITY]


	2016 (F-SpSu)(%)	2015
Student	60.67	62.2
Receiving Organization/enterprise	11.80	8.7
Sending HEI	27.53	29

B5.2. INDICATE WHO IS TAKING CARE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INSURANCE COVERAGE: IN MOBILITY FOR TRAINEESHIPS[C. ACCIDENT]

	2016 (F-SpSu)(%)	2015
Student	61.80	64.3
Receiving Organization/enterprise	955	7.1
Sending HEI	28.65	28.6


### **B6. EUROPASS MOBILITY**

#### B6.1. DO YOU ENCOURAGE YOUR PARTICIPANTS TO USE THE EUROPASS MOBILITY CERTIFICATE?




## **B7. RECOGNITION**

### B7.1. DOES YOUR INSTITUTION USE ECTS GRADE DISTRIBUTION TABLES?




B7.2. DOES YOUR INSTITUTION CONVERT THE GRADES OBTAINED ABROAD BY MOBILE STUDENTS TO HOME GRADE DISTRIBUTION TABLE RESULTS?




32

#### B7.3. IS THERE ROOM FOR PERSONAL INTERPRETATION AND/OR ADJUSTMENT OF GRADES IN THE PROCESS OF GRADE CONVERSION?




B7.4. DOES YOUR INSTITUTION INTEND TO PRODUCE ECTS GRADE DISTRIBUTION TABLES IN THE NEAR FUTURE AS ADVOCATED BY THE 2015 ECTS USERS' GUIDE?




# **B8. MOBILITY TOOL+**


#### B8.1. TO WHICH EXTENT IS THE MOBILITY TOOL+ ADAPTED TO YOUR NEEDS IN TERMS OF MONITORING YOUR MOBILITIES?




## B8.2. DO YOU FIND THE MOBILITY TOOL+ USEFUL?




## B8.3. DO YOU FIND THE MOBILITY TOOL+ EASY TO USE?




## B8.4. IS THE MOBILITY TOOL+ USED AT YOUR INSTITUTION ON A REGULAR BASIS?




## B8.5. AMONG THE FOLLOWING FEATURES OF THE MOBILITY TOOL+, RATE THEIR LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY.[A. IMPORT]




## B8.5. AMONG THE FOLLOWING FEATURES OF THE MOBILITY TOOL+, RATE THEIR LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY.[B. EXPORT]



### B8.5. AMONG THE FOLLOWING FEATURES OF THE MOBILITY TOOL+, RATE THEIR LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY.[C. MOBILITIES REGISTRATION]




## B8.5. AMONG THE FOLLOWING FEATURES OF THE MOBILITY TOOL+, RATE THEIR LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY.[D. PARTNERS REGISTRATION]



# **B9. OTHER**


### B9. I. IS THE NEW POSSIBILITY TO COMBINE STAFF TEACHING AND TRAINING MOBILITY APPRECIATED AMONG YOUR STAFF?



### B9.2. HOW MANY APPLICATIONS DID YOU RECEIVE FOR COMBINING STAFF TEACHING AND TRAINING MOBILITY


Mean		5.18
Range		123
Minimum		0
Maximum		123
Percentiles	25	0
	50	0
	75	3

## B9.3. DO YOU GET CLEAR RESPONSES FROM YOUR NATIONAL AGENCY?



## B9.4. DO YOU GET FAST RESPONSES FROM YOUR NATIONAL AGENCY?


60.00



■ 2016 ■ 2015(F-Sp-Su)


## CI. MOBILITY BETWEEN PROGRAMME AND PARTNER COUNTRIES (KA107) -INTEREST DEMAND

### CI.I. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE INTERNATIONAL OPENING (TO PARTNER COUNTRIES OUTSIDE EUROPE) OF ERASMUS UNDER ERASMUS+?




■ 2016 ■ 2015(F-Sp-Su)


## CI.2. DO YOU SEE THE INTERNATIONAL OPENING OF ERASMUS+ AS AN INTERESTING OPPORTUNITY FOR YOUR HEI?




## CI.3. DID YOU APPLY UNDER THE 2016 CALL FOR INTERNATIONAL CREDIT MOBILITY?




### CI.4. IN CASE YOU DIDN'T APPLY UNDER THE 2016 CALL, PLEASE SPECIFY WHY (YOU MAY MARK MORE THAN ONE)




CI.5. HOW INTERESTING ARE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL CREDIT MOBILITY TO YOUR INSTITUTION? [A. STUDENT MOBILITY – INCOMING STUDENTS] SCALE : I-NOT INTERESTING AT ALL ...... 5-VERY INTERESTING






■ 2016 (F-SpSu)(%) ■ 2015




■ 2016 (F-SpSu)(%) ■ 2015


CI.5. HOW INTERESTING ARE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL CREDIT MOBILITY TO YOUR INSTITUTION? [A. STAFF MOBILITY – OUTGOING STAFF] SCALE : I-NOT INTERESTING AT ALL ....... 5-VERY INTERESTING



■ 2016 (F-SpSu)(%) ■ 2015


#### CI.6. IS INTERNATIONAL CREDIT MOBILITY RELEVANT FOR YOUR INTERNATIONALIZATION STRATEGY (IF APPLICABLE)?





## C2. MOBILITY BETWEEN PROGRAMME AND PARTNER COUNTRIES (KA107) -FUNDING

C2.I. AMONG ALL YOUR PLANNED INTERNATIONAL MOBILITIES (STUDENT/STAFF) FOR THE CURRENT 2015-2016 ACADEMIC YEAR, HOW MANY ARE EXPECTED TO BE FUNDED WITH ERASMUS+ EU FUNDS?



# THANK YOU!